Board of Directors — Regular Meeting Minutes July 9, 2020



Virtual meeting

Notice: This regular meeting of the SJCoC Board of Directors was called in accordance with the SJCoC Charter.

Location Notice: Because of guidance from public health officials, this meeting was conducted virtually. Log-in information is distributed to the SJCoC General Membership.

Call to Order

11:01a

Roll Call

- Adam Cheshire
- Edward Figueroa
- Matt Garber
- Kate Hutchinson
- Mike Kelly
- Britton Kimball
- John Ledbetter
- Bill Mendelson
- Randy Pinnelli
- Peter Ragsdale
- Jennifer Rowell
- Jon Mendelson
- Carrie Wright

Minutes

April 24 minutes: <u>John moved/Bill second/Unanimous</u>
June 11 minutes: <u>Peter moved/Kate second/Unanimous</u>

Public Comment

None: closed 11:04a

Discussion, Information and Action Items

Housing Inventory Count and 2020 Point in Time Count of Sheltered Homeless Submitted

- Jon discussed the submission of the report and the contents of the report including beds by type, a 14% increase in beds compared to 2019;
- Adam highlighted Bill's efforts to get providers beyond those funded through ESG and CoC;
- 1,119 sheltered individuals per the 2020 PIT Count, a 4% increase over 2019;

Strategic Plan Publicization Efforts

- Jon mentioned the efforts of John and Adam to present the plan and request adoption at meetings of governing bodies;
- Discussed the conversations in the Strategic Plan committee related to the implementation of the plan;
- Adam discussed the plan presentation development and the collaborative efforts to roll out the plan;
- Carrie mentioned that the presentation for the City of Stockton is ready to go for July 14;
- John mentioned that he is working towards a presentation for Lodi targeting August;
- Conversation about how to get the plan before the Manteca and Tracy City Council; Adam noted
 that it will be important that presenters are residents of the respective communities and should
 be identified among the Board of Directors;
- Jon highlighted the goals and strategies of the strategic plan;

Update on Project Roomkey and COVID-19 Response

- Jon mentioned the numbers of clients being served and the goal to find permanent housing exits for those clients;
- Adam mentioned the specific figures for both the Motel 6 and the GCRM facilities; Britton provided some other information about the COVID+ facility and Wayne Richardson added further detail;
- Matt mentioned that hospitals are requesting placements for homeless symptomatic but not yet positive and is working with County Human Services and General Services to find additional capacity for that specific population;
- Bill discussed HMIS data entry for the Motel and Trailer-based services; mentioned that exit
 destinations have not been collected in some cases; the COVID+ beds are not being tracked
 through HMIS; Britton mentioned that Whole Person Care was supposed to take the lead on
 entering this data; Wayne indicated that he would follow up on behalf of GCRM;
- Jon mentioned planning possibilities for the upcoming Project Homekey program;
- John requested more details about Homekey; Kate mentioned that Housing California had a summary on their website;
- Randy updated the Board on shelter screening: up to over 16,000 screenings; 28 individuals found positive; biggest challenge is the typically one week timeframe for test results;

Consider and Approve HHAP Notice of Funding Availability

- Jon mentioned that no approval is required and that was mistakenly added; discussed the process to develop the NOFA; the connection to the Strategic Plan;
- Carrie provided an update on the rollout process and encouraged prompt feedback from the Board;
- Adam updated the group on the Board of Supervisors discussions about an emergency shelter;
- Peter mentioned his thoughts that a broad ranges of solutions are needed, and the connection of public feedback to the desire to expand emergency shelter;
- Jon recommends direction from the CoC to reaffirm the commitment to a collaborative process and state that the NOFA process should reflect the strategic priority areas, and are going to

shovel-ready projects engaged by organizations with the capacity to follow through; Board provided consent to provide the County Board and City of Stockton Council a communication to that effect;

Consider and Approve SJCoC Local Rank Tool for Renewal Projects for FY 2020 CoC Program Competition

- Jon provided an update on the tool and the process to development;
- Kate asked about details of several questions;
- Adam asked to return the Tool for Renewal Projects to the Committee for further review based on Kate's feedback;

Consider and Approve SJCoC Local Rank Tool for New Projects for FY 2020 CoC Program Competition

- Jon updated the Board on the process to develop the document;
- Adam mentioned that an application supplement has been developed;
- Adam moved to adopt, Bill seconded; unanimous

Consider a Request from the San Joaquin County Community Development Department to Score State CARES Act ESG-CV applications

- Jon provided an recap of the request and the reasons for the request;
- Consensus of the Board is that there is not currently sufficient capacity among the Board to engage in this process at this time;
- John asked about making a request to the General Membership; Adam suggested reaching out to the General Membership on behalf of CDD;

Presentations

Presentation from Curt Klaus, Department of Housing and Urban Development Community Planning and Development Representative

- Curt introduced himself and discussed his role with HUD and the SJCoC; discussed specific duties involved in the local process; mentioned the Strategic Plan and connected it to the HUD goal of a collaborative effort around homelessness issues; mentioned the similar requirement at the City and County level connected to the Consolidated Plan process; suggested that the Strategic Plan should be communicated to entitlement communities as part of the Consolidated Plan and communicate very specific roles for those communities; discussed the goal-setting process and HUDs role in that; answered questions related to the suggestions; mentioned the "tiny homes" projects happening in Stockton and Lodi; asked for question submissions for his upcoming General Membership presentation on July 23;
- Bill asked Curt to explain the role of the field office with the annual Program Competition NOFA:
 Curt indicated that the field office does not know when the NOFA is released until it is made public; they are then given a class on the changes in the new NOFA; no role in reviewing the applications;

Additional Items and Updates

None

Board Comments

• None

Adjournment

Bill moved/Kate second/unanimous

12:54p